

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP  
One Market, Spear Street Tower  
San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
Tel. +1.415.442.1000  
Fax: +1.415.442.1001  
www.morganlewis.com



**Daniel Johnson, Jr.**

Partner  
+1.415.442.1392  
djohnson@morganlewis.com

August 16, 2014

**VIA EMAIL**

Hon. Vernon S. Broderick, U.S.D.J.  
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse  
40 Foley Square, Room 415  
New York, NY 10007

Re: In re Keurig Green Mountain Single-Serve Coffee Antitrust Litig., MDL No. 2542

Dear Judge Broderick:

Pursuant to Your Honor's Individual Rules of Practice 5(B) and 1(A), Plaintiff J.B.R. Inc. (d/b/a Rogers Family Company) ("Rogers") submits this letter request explaining the reasons for seeking to file documents under seal. Specifically, Rogers requests to redact the highlighted portions of, and file under seal:

- Letter from Daniel Johnson re: Discovery Disputes, and attached exhibits 1-13 (in accordance with the protective order, Exhibits 1-3, 5 and 13 would be redacted in their entirety)<sup>1</sup>

This document incorporates documents produced by the defendant, and designated as "Highly Confidential." Under the terms of the Protective Order, by so designating that material, Keurig has represented:

(i) it is the subject of reasonable efforts to maintain its secrecy; (ii) it is sufficiently valuable and secret to afford a potential or actual advantage over others; (iii) its disclosure to existing or potential business competitors or customers would cause material injury to the business, commercial, competitive or financial interests of such producing party or non-party; and (iv) it is denominated as "Highly Confidential Material" for the purposes of this litigation.

---

<sup>1</sup> To avoid excessive highlighting and emailing of 100+ page PDF documents, files to be sealed in their entirety have been marked "HIGHLIGHTED" in the file name, and separate loose leaf versions have been omitted.

**Morgan Lewis**  
COUNSELORS AT LAW

Hon. Vernon S. Broderick, U.S.D.J.  
August 16, 2014  
Page 2

Case No. 1:14-cv-00905, Dtk. 49 at 3-4.

Under the terms of the protective order entered by this Court, “Protected Material shall be filed under seal.” *Id.* at 18.

For the same reasons that the Court entered the Protective Order, good cause exists to permit Rogers to file these documents under seal. In ruling on a motion to seal, the Court must balance the competing interests at stake, which include the public’s interest in access to judicial documents, and the privacy interest of the party resisting disclosure. Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga, 435 F.3d 110, 119-20 (2d Cir. 2006). Courts frequently find that a company’s interest in protecting proprietary or sensitive information outweighs the public’s interest in accessing such materials, and, as such, permit parties to file such materials under seal. See, e.g., Oscar v. BMW of North America, LLC, No. 09 Civ. 11 (PAE), 2012 WL 677970, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 1, 2012) (permitting documents to be filed under seal where court previously permitted similar documents to be filed under seal in the case); GoSmile, Inc. v. Levine, 769 F. Supp. 2d 630, 649 (S.D.N.Y. 2011) (permitting party to file materials under seal that contained proprietary information); Broadhurst Inv., LP v. Bank of New York Mellon, No. 09 Civ. 1154 (PKC), 2010 WL 3154840, at \*6 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 2, 2010) (permitting party to file materials containing private financial information under seal); United States v. Tangorra, 542 F. Supp. 2d 233, 236 (E.D.N.Y. 2008) (“The right of access is not to be used to secure confidential business information that might harm a litigant’s competitive standing.”); Bank of New York v. Meridien Biao Bank Tanzania, 171 F.R.D. 135, 144 (S.D.N.Y. 1997) (recognizing internal corporate documents such as audit practice manuals and other internal manuals as the types of materials that may be subject to a protective order).

For these reasons, Rogers respectfully requests that the Court permit them to file the above referenced documents under seal. Pursuant to Your Honor’s Individual Rule of Practice 5(B), should this request be granted, Rogers will then file the redacted versions of the documents.

If this request is acceptable to Your Honor, a So Ordered line is set forth below for the convenience of the Court.

Morgan Lewis

COUNSELORS AT LAW

Hon. Vernon S. Broderick, U.S.D.J.  
August 16, 2014  
Page 3

Sincerely,

*s/ Daniel Johnson, Jr.*

Daniel Johnson, Jr.

Attachments:

Letter from Daniel Johnson re: Discovery Disputes, and attached exhibits;  
Loose-leaf sets of these documents (excluding documents to be sealed in their entirety), with  
just those pages on which the party seeks to redact material.

cc:(Via email) Counsel of Record

SO ORDERED:

Dated: August 18, 2014



Honorable Vernon S. Broderick  
U.S. District Court Judge

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: _____
DATE FILED: 8/18/14